# On the Interdependence and Integration of Variability and Architectural Decisions

#### Ioanna Lytra, Holger Eichelberger, Huy Tran, Georg Leyh, Klaus Schmid, Uwe Zdun

Software Architecture Research Group University of Vienna, AT



wien

Software Systems Engineering University of Hildesheim, DE



Siemens AG Erlangen, DE

SIEMENS

 ...is a decision related to the differences among the products that derive from a product line.



From an architectural perspective, variabilities may reflect different architectural options considered during the design of the product line that are independent of the products' features.

#### **Architectural Decisions**

 ... is the result of the evaluation of alternative design options in terms of architectural elements such as patterns, components, or connectors and the selection of the best-fitting solution.



Architectural decisions refer to the software design both at product line and product level.

#### **Research Problem**

- Variability decisions often overlap with or influence architectural decisions (e.g., resolving a variability may enable or prevent some architectural options).
- The interdependence and integration of variability and architectural decisions have neither been studied nor addressed in a systematic way, yet.

This work intends to fill this gap and proposes the systematic integration of the two types of decisions along with tool support.

#### **Motivating Case**

#### **Warehouse Management System**



Goods out process of a warehouse



### **Architectural Decisions and Variability Decisions**

	Variability Decision	Possible values	Binding Time
	Picking rate	High/Medium/Low	Design Time
	Partial pallet strategy	Highspeed/Optimal reduction	Runtime
	Stapler crane strategy	Single fork/Multiple forks	Design Time

	Architectural Decision	Options	Influenced by Variability Decision
N. N	>IPC type	Open source Medium Price Very Expensive	Picking rate - Low - Picing rate - Medium/High
	Deployment devices	Single server Multiple servers/round-robin Multiple servers/load monitoring	Picking rate - Low Picking rate - Medium Picking rate - High
	Service identification	Business delegate proxy Business delegate adapter	-

#### ...and their Interdependency

- Low picking rate implies IPC open source and Single server
- <u>Medium picking rate</u> implies *IPC very expensive* and *Multiple server with round-robin*
- <u>High picking rate</u> implies *IPC very expensive* and *Multiple server with load monitoring*

How to express and resolve these interdependencies systematically?



Proposed Approach

# **Tool Support: EasyProducer**

...



Product Configuration Editor: PL_WMS						
🔄 Validate Product 🔄 Instantiate Product 🔄 Propagate Values						
	Decision Name	Current value	Freeze			
Α	VP1	medium	freeze			
$\oslash$	VP2					
A	VP3	single	freeze			
Α	VP4	computer	freeze			
	roject PL_WMS {					
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>4 5 enum PickingRateType {high, medium, low}; 6 enum PartialPalletStrategyType {highSpeed, optimalReduction}; 7 enum StaplerCraneStrategyType {single, multiple}; 8 enum UIDeviceType {computer, mobile}; 9 10 PickingRateType VP1; 11 PartialPalletStrategyType VP2; 12 StaplerCraneStrategyType VP3;</pre>					
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 }	<pre>UIDeviceType VP4; enum BindingTime {designTime, compileTime, initTime, runTime}; attribute BindingTime bindingTime = BindingTime.designTime to PL_WMS; assign (bindingTime = BindingTime.runTime) to { PartialPalletStrategyType VP2; }</pre>					

# **Tool Support: ADvISE**

ADvISE - OperatorInterface - Eclipse ×							
File Edit Navigate Se <u>a</u> rch Project Sample <u>R</u> un Window Help							
] 📬 🗟 🕼 🚔 ] 🕰 🖌 🖨 🛷 ]	<ul> <li>● ▲</li> <li>◆</li> <li>◆<td>ADvise *</td></li></ul>	ADvise *					
🗚 ADvISE View 🛿 🗖 🗖	🔄 InterfaceDesign [ 🔁 Operator Interface 😫 🔤 🖷	😮 Questionnaire View 🛚 🖉 🗖					
o x @	AD Questionnaire	▽ 🗁 Decisions ( 2 )					
▽ 🗁 Indenica-CaseStudy	▼ AD1	📄 (M)Hide complexity:Use a faca					
🗢 🗁 Decision Groups	Component-and-Connector: Decide how to design interfaces inside the VSP to	🗎 (M)Facade transparency:Use t					
▽ 🗁 IndenicaDecisions	Decoupling						
🗉 InterfaceDesign	Do you want to decouple operator application from the contract responsibilitie	(M)Convert Interfaces					
🗢 🗁 variability	○ No						
ADModelIndenica	Unified interface	📄 (M)Decoupling					
▽ 🗁 Questions	Do you need a unified interface for services inside the VSP?	(M)Unified interface					
▽ 🗁 IndenicaDecisions	○ No	📄 (M)Hide complexity:Use a faca					
🖹 Operator Interface	Hide complexity	📄 (M)Facade transparency:Use t					
🔁 YMSNotificationInterface	Is the aim of the unified interface to hide interface or technological complexity						
🗢 🗁 variability	Interface complexity						
🔁 ADModel IndenicaQuestion	▼ AD2						
🔁 ADModel IndenicaQuestion	Component-and-Connector: Decide the gateway details.						
	Convert Interfaces						
	~						
	OperatorInterface						
」□◆		82   8 14   8 🕄 📮 🌖 🔕					

### **Tool Integration**

#### <mappings> VP1 = PickingRateType.medium VP3 = StaplerCraneStrategyType.single <vp name="VP1"> <relation type="excludes"> <vd id="PickingRateType.medium"/> Product Configuration with EasyProducer <add id="AD4.IPC type of software.IPC open source"/> </relation> AD Questionnaire </vp> AD4 Component-and-Connector: Product design </mappings> IPC type of software What kind of software shall be used for managing IPC?

Mapping between Variability and Architectural Decisions

> Which software will be used? O Software 1 O Software 2 Updated Architectural Decision Model with **ADVISE**

IPC open source O IPC medium price O IPC very expensive

IPC software

2

3

#### **Conclusions and Future Work**

- Interdependencies between variability and architectural decisions exist but are mainly kept implicit.
- Formalizing the interdependencies requires additional efforts but leads to better automated support in integrating variability management and architectural decision making in the long run.
- Variability and architectural decisions remain consistent at product derivation.

#### Some open challenges

- Reconsidering a variability decision may cause inconsistencies to existing architectural decisions. How to deal with this?
- What other interdependencies exist? How to classify, formalize them?
- What is the impact of changing both decisions during the evolution and maintenance of product lines and products?